

# Additional information and pricing-hedging duality in robust framework

Anna Aksamit

*based on joint work with*  
Zhaoxu Hou and Jan Obłój

*London - Paris Bachelier Workshop on Mathematical Finance*  
*Paris, September 29-30, 2016*



# Pricing and hedging problems

---

How to determine the **prices** of exotic options?

How to **hedge** the positions in exotic options by using underlying assets and vanilla options?

- ▶ **Model-specific approach**: the price process of the underlying assets  $(S_t)_{t \leq T}$  are modelled by some parametric family of stochastic processes.
- ▶ **Model-independent approach**: many possible models, weaker economic assumptions
  - ▶ Quasi-sure approach
  - ▶ Pathwise approach

## Robust approach – an active field of research

---

*Explicit bounds  $LB \leq PO_T \leq UB$  and robust super-/sub- hedges*

*Arbitrage considerations and robust FTAP*

*Pricing-hedging duality*

*Pathspace restrictions  $A \not\subseteq \Omega$*

Acciaio, Bayraktar, Beiglböck, Biagini, Bouchard, Brown, Burzoni, Cheridito, Cox, Davis, Denis, Dolinsky, Dupire, Frittelli, Galichon, Gassiat, Guo, Henry-Labordère, Hobson, Hou, Huesmann, Källblad, Kardaras, Klimmek, Kupper, Maggis, Martini, Mykland, Nadtochiy, Neuberger, Neufeld, Nutz, Obłój, Penker, Perkowski, Possamaï, Prömel, Raval, Riedel, Rogers, Schachermayer, Soner, Spoida, Tan, Tangpi, Temme, Touzi, Wang ...

## Additional information

---

- ▶  $\mathbb{F}$  regular agent/ common knowledge/ public information
- ▶  $\mathbb{G} \supset \mathbb{F}$  informed agent with **additional information** represented by the entire filtration
- ▶ Hedging  $\xi$ : how much the additional information is worth?

$$\text{Price}_{\mathbb{F}}(\xi) - \text{Price}_{\mathbb{G}}(\xi)$$

- ▶ Super-hedging price:

$$\inf\{x : \exists(x, \gamma)\text{-super-hedges } \xi\}$$

vs market model price:

$$\sup_{\mathbb{P} \in \mathcal{M}} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}}(\xi)$$

- ▶ **No (duality) gap** between these two values

- ▶ Yan Dolinsky and Mete Soner *Martingale Optimal Transport and Robust Hedging in Continuous Time*, Probability Theory and Related Fields. 160. (2014), 391–427.
- ▶ Zhaoxu Hou and Jan Obłój *On robust pricing-hedging duality in continuous time*.

# Traded assets and information

---

- ▶ Stock price  $S$  is the **canonical process** on

$$\Omega := \{\omega \in C([0, T], \mathbb{R}_+^d) : \omega(0) = 1\}$$

- ▶  $\mathbb{F}$  is the filtration generated by  $S$ , i.e.,  $\mathcal{F}_t := \sigma(S_s : s \leq t)$
- ▶  $X_0, X_1, \dots, X_n$  statically traded options which have prices  $\mathcal{P}(X_i)$  at time 0,  $X_0 = 1$  and  $\mathcal{P}(X_0) = 1$
- ▶  $\mathbb{G}$  is the enlarged filtration  $\mathcal{G}_t := \mathcal{F}_t \vee \mathcal{H}_t$ , where  $\mathbb{H} := (\mathcal{H}_t)_{t \leq T}$  is another filtration  
 $\mathbb{G}$  is called the initial enlargement of  $\mathbb{F}$  with random variable  $Z$  if  
 $\mathcal{H}_t = \sigma(Z)$

## Equivalence relation and atoms

---

- ▶  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_T)$  measurable space and  $\mathcal{G}$  sub- $\sigma$ -field of  $\mathcal{F}_T$ ,  $\omega, \tilde{\omega} \in \Omega$   
 $\omega$  and  $\tilde{\omega}$  are  $\mathcal{G}$ -equivalent,  $\omega \sim_{\mathcal{G}} \tilde{\omega}$ , if  $\mathbb{1}_G(\omega) = \mathbb{1}_G(\tilde{\omega})$  holds  $\forall G \in \mathcal{G}$

Note that  $\omega \sim_{\mathcal{F}_t} \tilde{\omega} \iff \omega_u = \tilde{\omega}_u$  for each  $u \leq t$ ,  
and  $\omega \sim_{\sigma(Z)} \tilde{\omega} \iff Z(\omega) = Z(\tilde{\omega})$

- ▶  $[\omega]_{\mathcal{G}}$  denotes the equivalence class, or **atom**, in  $\Omega$  where  $\omega$  belongs to:

$$[\omega]_{\mathcal{G}} = \bigcap \{A : A \in \mathcal{G}, \omega \in A\}$$

- ▶  $(\Omega, \mathcal{G})$  is countably generated if there exists a *sequence*  $(B_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{G}$  such that  $\sigma((B_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}) = \mathcal{G}$ . In this case each **atom** is  $\mathcal{G}$ -measurable

# Trading strategies

---

- ▶ integral of  $g : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  of finite variation w.r.t.  $\omega \in \Omega$ :

$$\int_0^t g(u) d\omega(u) := g(t)\omega(t) - g(0)\omega(0) - \int_0^t \omega(u) dg(u)$$

- ▶  $\gamma : \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{D}([0, T], \mathbb{R}^d)$  is **G-adapted** if  $\gamma_t$  is  $\mathcal{G}_t$ -measurable, i.e. if

$$\omega \sim_{\mathcal{G}_t} \tilde{\omega} \quad \text{implies} \quad \gamma(\omega)_t = \gamma(\tilde{\omega})_t$$

and it is **G-admissible** strategy if moreover it has finite variation and

$$\int_0^t \gamma(\omega)_u dS_u(\omega) \geq -M(\omega) \quad \forall \omega, t \quad \text{for some } M \in L^0(\Omega, \mathcal{G}_0)$$

- ▶ A **G-admissible semi-static strategy** is a pair  $(X, \gamma)$  where  $X = A_0 + \sum_{i=1}^n A_i X_i$  for some  $\mathcal{G}_0$ -measurable random variables  $A_i$  and  $\mathbb{G}$ -admissible strategy  $\gamma$ .

**Initial cost** of such a strategy is  $\mathcal{P}(X) = A_0 + \sum_{i=1}^m A_i \mathcal{P}(X_i)$ .

The set of all  $\mathbb{G}$ -admissible semi-static strategies is denoted by  $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{G})$ .

# The super-hedging price

---

$\mathbb{G}$ -super-hedging price of  $\xi$  on  $A \in \mathcal{F}_T$ :

$$V_A^{\mathbb{G}}(\xi)(\omega) := \inf\{\mathcal{P}(X)(\omega) : \exists (X, \gamma) \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{G}) \text{ such that} \\ X(\tilde{\omega}) + \int_0^T \gamma(\tilde{\omega})_u dS_u(\tilde{\omega}) \geq \xi(\tilde{\omega}) \text{ for all } \tilde{\omega} \in A\}$$

## Proposition

The  $\mathbb{G}$ -super-hedging price on  $\Omega$  is constant on each  $[\omega]$  and given by

$$V_{\Omega}^{\mathbb{G}}(\xi)(\omega) = V_{[\omega]}^{\mathbb{G}}(\xi)$$

where  $[\omega]$  denotes the  $\mathcal{G}_0$ -equivalence class containing  $\omega$ .

It holds that  $V_{\Omega}^{\mathbb{G}}(\xi) \leq V_{\Omega}^{\mathbb{F}}(\xi)$

# The market model price

---

- ▶ The set of  $\mathbb{G}$ -calibrated martingale measures concentrated on  $A \in \mathcal{F}_T$ :

$$\mathcal{M}_A^{\mathbb{G}} := \{ \mathbb{P} : S \text{ is a } (\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{G})\text{-martingale, } \mathbb{P}(A) = 1 \\ \text{and } \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}}(X_i | \mathcal{G}_0) = \mathcal{P}(X_i) \text{ for all } i \in \{1, \dots, n\} \text{ } \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s.} \}$$

- ▶  $\mathbb{G}$ -market price of  $\xi$  on  $A \in \mathcal{F}_T$ :

$$P_A^{\mathbb{G}}(\xi)(\omega) := \sup_{\mathbb{P} \in \mathcal{M}_A^{\mathbb{G}}} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}}(\xi | \mathcal{G}_0)(\omega)$$

## Proposition

Assume each element of  $\mathbb{G}$  is countably generated. Let  $\mathbb{P} \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{P}, \Omega}^{\mathbb{G}}$ . Then, there exists a set  $\Omega^{\mathbb{P}} \in \mathcal{G}_0$  with  $\mathbb{P}(\Omega^{\mathbb{P}}) = 1$  and a version  $\{\mathbb{P}_{\omega}\}$  of the regular conditional probabilities of  $\mathbb{P}$  with respect to  $\mathcal{G}_0$  such that for each  $\omega \in \Omega^{\mathbb{P}}$ ,  $\mathbb{P}_{\omega} \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{P}, [\omega]_{\mathcal{G}_0}}^{\mathbb{G}}$ .

# The market model price

---

Let  $A \in \mathcal{F}_T$ . The  $\mathbb{G}$ -market price of  $\xi$  on  $A$  is defined by

$$P_A^{\mathbb{G}}(\xi)(\omega) := \sup_{\mathbb{P} \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{P}, A}^{\mathbb{G}}} \bar{\mathbb{E}}_{\mathbb{P}_\omega}(\xi), \quad \omega \in \Omega,$$

where  $\bar{\mathbb{E}}_{\mathbb{P}_\omega}(\xi) = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}_\omega}(\xi)$  for  $\omega \in \Omega^{\mathbb{P}}$  and  $\bar{\mathbb{E}}_{\mathbb{P}_\omega}(\xi) = -\infty$  for  $\omega \in \Omega \setminus \Omega^{\mathbb{P}}$ .

## Proposition

The  $\mathbb{G}$ -market price on  $\Omega$  is constant on each  $[\omega]$  and given by

$$P_\Omega^{\mathbb{G}}(\xi)(\omega) = P_{[\omega]}^{\mathbb{G}}(\xi)$$

where  $[\omega]$  is  $\mathcal{G}_0$ -equivalent class containing  $\omega$ .

It holds that  $P_\Omega^{\mathbb{G}}(\xi) \leq P_\Omega^{\mathbb{F}}(\xi)$

## Easy inequality in the pricing-hedging duality

---

### Lemma

The  $\mathbb{G}$ -super-hedging price  $V_{\Omega}^{\mathbb{G}}(\xi)$  and the  $\mathbb{G}$ -market model price  $P_{\Omega}^{\mathbb{G}}(\xi)$  of  $\xi$  on  $\Omega$  satisfy

$$V_{\Omega}^{\mathbb{G}}(\xi)(\omega) \geq P_{\Omega}^{\mathbb{G}}(\xi)(\omega) \quad \forall \omega \in \Omega .$$

PROOF:  $\mathbb{G}$ -super-replicating portfolio  $(X, \gamma) \in \mathcal{A}^M(\mathbb{G})$  on  $[\omega]_{\mathcal{G}_0}$  and measure  $\mathbb{P} \in M_{[\omega]_{\mathcal{G}_0}}^{\mathbb{G}}$ .

$\{\mathbb{P}_v\}$  regular conditional probabilities of  $\mathbb{P}$  with respect to  $\mathcal{G}_0$

Since  $\mathbb{P}(M \equiv \text{const}) = 1$ ,

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}}(\xi) \leq \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}} \left( X + \int_0^T \gamma_u dS_u \right) \leq \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}}(X).$$

□

# Duality

# Atoms and path restriction

---

- ▶ Let  $\mathbb{G} = \mathbb{F} \vee \sigma(Z)$
- ▶ Additional information arrives entirely at time 0
- ▶ Atoms of  $\mathcal{G}_0$  are simply atoms of  $\sigma(Z)$
- ▶ Each atom can be seen as path restriction since on each atom the filtration  $\mathbb{G}$  and  $\mathbb{F}$  coincide, i.e., for each  $\omega$

$$\forall G \in \mathcal{G}_t \quad \exists F \in \mathcal{F}_t \quad \text{s.t.} \quad [\omega]_{\mathcal{G}_0} \cap G = [\omega]_{\mathcal{G}_0} \cap F$$

## Duality for $\mathbb{G} = \mathbb{F} \vee \sigma(Z)$

---

### Theorem

Let  $\mathbb{G} = \mathbb{F} \vee \sigma(Z)$  and assume that for each value  $c$  of  $Z$  we have

$$P_{\{Z=c\}}^{\mathbb{F}}(\xi) = V_{\{Z=c\}}^{\mathbb{F}}(\xi)$$

for any bounded uniformly continuous  $\xi$ .

Suppose that assumptions of Theorem Hou Obłój are satisfied.

Then, **duality in  $\mathbb{G}$**  holds, i.e.,

$$V_{\Omega}^{\mathbb{G}}(\xi)(\omega) = P_{\Omega}^{\mathbb{G}}(\xi)(\omega)$$

for any bounded uniformly continuous  $\xi$ .

PROOF: One can show that:

$$P_{\{Z=c\}}^{\mathbb{F}}(\xi) = P_{\{Z=c\}}^{\mathbb{G}}(\xi) \leq V_{\{Z=c\}}^{\mathbb{G}}(\xi) = V_{\{Z=c\}}^{\mathbb{F}}(\xi)$$

## [HO] Beliefs: approximate pricing-hedging duality

---

Approximation of  $A$ :  $A^\varepsilon = \{\omega \in \Omega : \inf_{v \in A} \|\omega - v\| \leq \varepsilon\}$ .

$$\tilde{V}_A^{\mathbb{F}}(\xi) := \inf\{\mathcal{P}(X) : \exists(X, \gamma) \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{F}), \varepsilon > 0 \text{ s.t. } X + \int_0^T \gamma_u dS_u \geq \xi \text{ on } A^\varepsilon\}$$

$$\tilde{P}_A(\xi) := \lim_{\varepsilon \searrow 0} \sup_{\mathbb{P} \in \mathcal{M}_A^{\mathbb{F}, \varepsilon}} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}}(\xi) \quad \text{where}$$

$$\mathcal{M}_A^{\mathbb{F}, \varepsilon} := \{\mathbb{P} : S \text{ is a } (\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{F})\text{-mart.}, \mathbb{P}(A^\varepsilon) = 1 - \varepsilon \text{ and } |\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}}(X_i) - \mathcal{P}(X_i)| \leq \varepsilon \forall i\}$$

### Theorem (Hou & Obłój)

Assume that all payoffs  $X_i$  are bounded and uniformly continuous and that for all  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $\mathbb{P} \in \mathcal{M}_A^{\mathbb{F}, \varepsilon}$ .

Then for any bounded uniformly continuous  $\xi : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

$$\tilde{V}_A(\xi) = \tilde{P}_A(\xi).$$

This theorem implies that

$$P_A(\xi) \leq V_A(\xi) \leq \tilde{V}_A(\xi) = \tilde{P}_A(\xi)$$

## Duality for $\mathbb{G} = \mathbb{F} \vee \sigma(Z)$

---

### Theorem

Let  $\mathbb{G} = \mathbb{F} \vee \sigma(Z)$  and assume that for each value  $c$  of  $Z$  we have

$$P_{\{Z=c\}}^{\mathbb{F}}(\xi) = \widetilde{P}_{\{Z=c\}}^{\mathbb{F}}(\xi)$$

for any bounded uniformly continuous  $\xi$ .

Suppose that assumptions of Theorem Hou Obłój are satisfied.

Then, *duality in  $\mathbb{G}$*  holds, i.e.,

$$V_{\Omega}^{\mathbb{G}}(\xi)(\omega) = P_{\Omega}^{\mathbb{G}}(\xi)(\omega)$$

for any bounded uniformly continuous  $\xi$ .

EXAMPLE: Assume no options and  $d = 1$ . No duality gap in  $\mathbb{G}$  holds:

- ▶  $Z = \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |\ln S_t|$
- ▶  $Z = \mathbf{1}_{\{a < S_t < b \forall t \in [0, T]\}}$  where  $a < 1 < b$

# Dynamic programming principle

# Dynamic approach

---

Additional information  $\sigma(Z)$  is disclosed at time  $T_1 \in (0, T)$ :

$$\mathcal{G}_t = \mathcal{F}_t \text{ for } t \in [0, T_1) \text{ and } \mathcal{G}_t = \mathcal{F}_t \vee \sigma(Z) \text{ for } t \in [T_1, T]$$

Assume  $Z$  satisfies

$$Z(\omega) = \begin{cases} \tilde{Z} \left( \frac{\omega|_{[T_1, T]}}{\omega_{T_1}} \right) & \text{if } \omega_{T_1} > 0 \\ 1 & \text{if } \omega_{T_1} = 0 \end{cases} \quad \text{for r.v. } \tilde{Z} \text{ on } \Omega|_{[T_1, T]}.$$

This encodes the idea that the additional information concerns only the evolution of prices after time  $T_1$  irrespectively of the prices before time  $T_1$ .

## Theorem

*Duality in  $\mathbb{G}$  holds, i.e.,  $V_{\Omega}^{\mathbb{G}}(\xi) = P_{\Omega}^{\mathbb{G}}(\xi)$  holds for any bounded uniformly continuous  $\xi$ .*

## Dynamic approach

---

- ▶ Firstly solve the problem for each atom of  $\mathcal{G}_{T_1}$  on  $[T_1, T]$  separately by the same arguments as for  $\mathbb{F} \vee \sigma(Z)$
- ▶ Secondly aggregate atoms of  $\mathcal{G}_{T_1}$  into atoms of  $\mathcal{F}_{T_1}$
- ▶ Apply dynamic principle
- ▶ Thus the problem is now reduced to  $[0, T_1]$  and trading w.r.t  $\mathbb{F}$

$$\begin{aligned}V_{\Omega}^{\mathbb{G},[0,T]}(\xi) &= V_{\Omega}^{\mathbb{F},[0,T_1]}(V_{\Omega}^{\mathbb{G},[T_1,T]}(\xi)) = V_{\Omega}^{\mathbb{F},[0,T_1]}(P_{\Omega}^{\mathbb{G},[T_1,T]}(\xi)) \\ &= P_{\Omega}^{\mathbb{F},[0,T_1]}(P_{\Omega}^{\mathbb{G},[T_1,T]}(\xi)) = P_{\Omega}^{\mathbb{G},[0,T]}(\xi).\end{aligned}$$

# Dynamic programming principle

---

## Proposition

Let  $\xi$  be uniformly continuous.

(i) Define

$$V_{\Omega}^{\mathbb{G},[T_1,T]}(\xi)(\omega) := \inf\{x : \exists \gamma \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{G}) \text{ s.t. } x + \int_{T_1}^T \gamma_u dS_u \geq \xi \text{ on } [\omega]_{\mathcal{F}_{T_1}}\}.$$

Then,  $V_{\Omega}^{\mathbb{G},[T_1,T]}(\xi)$  is u.c. and

$$V_{\Omega}^{\mathbb{G},[0,T]}(\xi) = V_{\Omega}^{\mathbb{F},[0,T_1]} \left( V_{\Omega}^{\mathbb{G},[T_1,T]}(\xi) \right)$$

(ii) Define  $P_{\Omega}^{\mathbb{G},[T_1,T]}(\xi)(\omega) := \sup_{\mathbb{P} \in \mathcal{M}_{[\omega]_{\mathcal{F}_{T_1}}}^{\mathbb{G},[T_1,T]}} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}}(\xi)$ . Then,  $P_{\Omega}^{\mathbb{G},[T_1,T]}(\xi)$  is

u.c. and

$$P_{\Omega}^{\mathbb{G},[0,T]}(\xi) = P_{\Omega}^{\mathbb{F},[0,T_1]} \left( P_{\Omega}^{\mathbb{G},[T_1,T]}(\xi) \right)$$

# Conclusions

---

- ▶ Formulation of the duality problem for a general filtration with possibly non trivial initial  $\sigma$ -field
- ▶ Translating original problem to the path restriction language from Hou & Obłój in case of an initial enlargement
- ▶ Disclosure of an additional information after initial time and dynamic programming principle

THANK YOU!

## Dynamic programming principle

---

The path modification mapping  $\alpha^{v, \tilde{v}}$  by

$$\alpha(\omega) := \begin{cases} v|_{[0, T_1]} \otimes \frac{v_{T_1}}{\tilde{v}_{T_1}} \omega|_{[T_1, T]} & \omega \in B^{\tilde{v}} \\ \tilde{v}|_{[0, T_1]} \otimes \frac{\tilde{v}_{T_1}}{v_{T_1}} \omega|_{[T_1, T]} & \omega \in B^v \\ \omega & \omega \notin B^v \cup B^{\tilde{v}} \end{cases}$$

If the strategy  $\gamma$  super-replicates on  $B^v$ , the strategy  $\frac{v_{T_1}}{\tilde{v}_{T_1}} \gamma \circ \alpha + \frac{\delta^{\frac{1}{4}}}{\tilde{v}_{T_1}} \mathbb{1}_{[T_1, \tilde{T}]}$  super-replicates on  $B^{\tilde{v}}$  and  $\tilde{\xi}(\tilde{v}) \leq \tilde{\xi}(v) + e_{\tilde{\xi}}(\|v - \tilde{v}\|)$ .

If  $\mathbb{P} \in \mathcal{M}_{B^v}^{\mathbb{G}, [T_1, T]}$  then  $\bar{\mathbb{P}} = \mathbb{P} \circ \alpha \in \mathcal{M}_{B^{\tilde{v}}}^{\mathbb{G}, [T_1, T]}$  and  $|\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}}(\xi) - \mathbb{E}_{\bar{\mathbb{P}}}(\xi)| \leq e_{\tilde{\xi}}(\|v - \tilde{v}\|)$

# Informational metrics

---

Quantification of the **value** of the information

Initial enlargement – **distances** between  $\sigma$ -fields  $d(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{H})$ :

$$\sup_{G \in \mathcal{G}} \inf_{H \in \mathcal{H}} \sup_{\mathbb{P} \in \mathcal{M}} \mathbb{P}(G \Delta H) \vee \sup_{H \in \mathcal{H}} \inf_{G \in \mathcal{G}} \sup_{\mathbb{P} \in \mathcal{M}} \mathbb{P}(G \Delta H)$$

$$\sup_{0 \leq \xi \leq 1} \sup_{\mathbb{P} \in \mathcal{M}} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}} \left| \sup_{\mathbb{Q} \in \mathcal{M}^{[\omega]_{\mathcal{G}}}} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\xi) - \sup_{\mathbb{Q} \in \mathcal{M}^{[\omega]_{\mathcal{H}}}} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\xi) \right|$$