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Extend the setup of American options by allowing the seller to cancel the contract (introduced by Kifer in 2000).

- If the buyer exercises the contract at time $\tau$, he gets $\xi_\tau$ from the seller.
- If the seller cancels at $\sigma$ before $\tau$, then he has to pay $\zeta_\sigma$ to the buyer.
- $\zeta_t - \xi_t \geq 0$, for all $t$ represents the penalty for the seller for the cancellation of the contract.

The seller pays to the buyer the **payoff** $I(\tau, \sigma) := \xi_\tau 1_{\tau \leq \sigma} + \zeta_\sigma 1_{\tau > \sigma}$ at the terminal time $\tau \land \sigma$. 
In a *perfect complete market*, Kifer (2000) shows both in the CRR discrete time-model and in the Black-Scholes model (with $\xi$ and $\zeta$ continuous), that the superhedging price is equal to the value function of a Dynkin game:

$$u_0 = \sup_{\tau} \inf_{\sigma} \mathbb{E}_Q[\tilde{\xi}_\tau \mathbf{1}_{\tau \leq \sigma} + \tilde{\zeta}_\sigma \mathbf{1}_{\tau > \sigma}] = \inf_{\sigma} \sup_{\tau} \mathbb{E}_Q[\tilde{\xi}_\tau \mathbf{1}_{\tau \leq \sigma} + \tilde{\zeta}_\sigma \mathbf{1}_{\tau > \sigma}],$$

where $\tilde{\xi}_t$, $\tilde{\zeta}_t$ are the discounted values of $\xi_t, \zeta_t$ and $\mathbb{E}_Q$ represents the expectation under the unique martingale probability measure $Q$ of the market model.
Other works: on pricing of games options or more sophisticated game-type financial contracts (e.g. swing game options)

- In the discrete time: Dolinsky and Kifer (2007), Dolinsky and al. (2011)
- In the continuous time perfect market model with continuous payoffs - Hamadène (2006), Kifer (2013)
- Pricing of game options in a market with default - Bielecki and al. (2009)
Study the game options (pricing and superhedging) in the case of imperfections in the market taken into account via the nonlinearity of the wealth dynamics (in the case when there also exists the possibility of a default and the payoffs are irregular).

Study game options under model uncertainty, in particular ambiguity on the default probability.
Let \((\Omega, \mathcal{G}, \mathbb{P})\) be a complete probability space equipped with
- a unidimensional standard Brownian motion \(W\)
- a jump process \(N\) defined by \(N_t = 1_{\vartheta \leq t}\) for any \(t \in [0, T]\), where \(\vartheta\) is a r.v. which modelizes a default time. We assume that this default can appear at any time that is \(P(\vartheta > t) > 0\) for any \(t \in [0, T]\).

We denote by \(\mathcal{G} = \{\mathcal{G}_t, 0 \leq t \leq T\}\) the complete natural filtration of \(W\) and \(N\). We suppose that \(W\) is a \(\mathcal{G}\)-brownian motion. Let \(M\) be the compensated martingale of the process \(N\):

\[
M_t = N_t - \int_0^t \lambda_s ds .
\]

The process \((\lambda_t)\) is called intensity. \(\lambda\) vanishes after the default time \(\vartheta\).
Financial market

- 3 assets: prices $S^0$, $S^1$, $S^2$ satisfying:

\[
\begin{align*}
    dS^0_t &= S^0_t r_t dt \\
    dS^1_t &= S^1_t [\mu^1_t dt + \sigma^1_t dW_t] \\
    dS^2_t &= S^2_t [\mu^2_t dt + \sigma^2_t dW_t - dM_t].
\end{align*}
\]

The price process $S^2$ admits a discontinuity at time $\vartheta$.
All processes $\sigma^1, \sigma^2, r, \mu^1, \mu^2$ are $\mathcal{G}$-predictable. We set $\sigma = (\sigma^1, \sigma^2)'$. We assume
\[
\sigma^1, \sigma^2 > 0, \text{ and the coefficients } \sigma^1, \sigma^2, \mu^1, \mu^2, (\sigma^1)^{-1}, (\sigma^2)^{-1}
\]
are bounded. The interest rate $r$ is lower bounded.
Let us consider an investor, endowed with an initial wealth $x$. At $t$, he chooses the amount $\varphi_t^1$ (resp. $\varphi_t^2$) invested $S^1$ (resp $S^2$).

$\varphi^2$ vanishes after $\vartheta$.

$\varphi. = (\varphi_t^1, \varphi_t^2)'$ is called *risky assets strategy*. Let $V_t^{x,\varphi}$ (or $V_t$) = value of the portfolio.
Linear pricing

**Perfect market model**

\[ dV_t = (r_t V_t + \varphi_1^t \theta_1^t \sigma_1^t - \lambda_t \varphi_2^t \theta_2^t) dt + \varphi'_t \sigma_t dW_t - \varphi_t^2 dM_t, \]

where \( \theta_1^t := \frac{\mu_1^t - r_t}{\sigma_1^t} \) and \( \theta_2^t := -\frac{\mu_2^t - \sigma_2^t \theta_1^t - r_t}{\lambda_t} \mathbf{1}_{t \leq \vartheta} \).

Consider an European option with maturity \( T \) and payoff \( \xi \). The unique solution \((X, Z, K)\) of the **linear BSDE** with default:

\[ -dX_t = - \left( r_t X_t + (Z_t + \sigma_t^2 K_t) \theta_1^t + K_t \theta_2^t \lambda_t \right) dt - Z_t dW_t - K_t dM_t; \quad X_T = \xi. \]

\[ g(t,y,z,k) = -(r_t y + (z + \sigma_t^2 k \mathbf{1}_{t \leq \vartheta}) \theta_1^t + \theta_2^t \lambda_t k) \]

provides the replicating portfolio. The hedging strategy \( \varphi \) is such that

\[ \varphi'_t \sigma_t = Z_t; \quad \varphi_t^2 = -K_t. \]

This defines a change of variables \( \Phi(Z, K) := (\varphi^1, \varphi^2) \).
Nonlinear pricing

The imperfect market model $\mathcal{M}^g$

The imperfections in the market are taken into account via the nonlinearity of the dynamics of the wealth $V^x,\phi_t$:

$$-dV_t = g(t, V_t, \varphi_t'\sigma_t, \varphi_t^2)dt + \varphi_t'\sigma_t dW_t - \varphi_t^2 dM_t,$$

Consider an European option with maturity $S \in [0, T]$ and terminal payoff $\xi$. The unique solution $(X, Z, K)$ of the nonlinear BSDE with default

$$-dX_t = g(t, X_t, Z_t, K_t)dt - Z_t dW_t - K_t dM_t, \quad X_S = \xi.$$

gives the hedging price $(X)$ and the hedging strategy $(\varphi^1, \varphi^2) := \Phi(Z, K)$.

This leads to a nonlinear pricing system (introduced by El Karoui-Quenez), denoted by $\mathcal{E}^g : \forall S \in [0, T], \forall \xi \in L_2$

$$\mathcal{E}_{t,S}^g[\xi] := X_t(S, \xi), \quad t \in [0, S].$$
Nonlinear pricing

The imperfect market model $M^g$

Examples of imperfections:

- Different borrowing and lending interest rates $R_t$ and $r_t$ with $R_t \geq r_t$.
  
  \[
  g(t, V_t, \varphi_t \sigma_t, -\varphi_t^2) = - (r_t V_t + \varphi_t^1 \theta_t^1 \sigma_t^1 - \varphi_t^2 \lambda_t \theta_t^2) + (R_t - r_t)(V_t - \varphi_t^1 - \varphi_t^2)^- 
  \]

- Large investor whose trading strategy $\varphi_t$ impacts the market prices: $r_t(\omega) = \bar{r}(t, \omega, \varphi_t)$ and similarly for $\sigma^1, \sigma^2, \theta^1, \theta^2$.

  \[
  g(t, V_t, \varphi_t \sigma_t, -\varphi_t^2) = -\bar{r}(t, \varphi_t) V_t - \varphi_t^1 (\bar{\theta}^1 \bar{\sigma}^1)(t, \varphi_t) + \varphi_t^2 \lambda_t \bar{\theta}^2(t, \varphi_t). 
  \]
The imperfect market model $\mathcal{M}^g$

**Definition** [Driver, $\lambda$-admissible driver]

- A function $g$ is said to be a **driver** if $g : [0, T] \times \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}$;
  $(\omega, t, y, z, k) \mapsto g(\omega, t, y, z, k)$ is $\mathcal{P} \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^3)$-measurable, and $g(., 0, 0, 0) \in \mathbb{H}_2$.

- A driver $g$ is called a **$\lambda$-admissible driver** if moreover there exists a constant $C \geq 0$ such that $dP \otimes dt$-a.s., for each $(y, z, k), (y_1, z_1, k_1), (y_2, z_2, k_2),$

$$|g(\omega, t, y, z_1, k_1) - g(\omega, t, y, z_2, k_2)| \leq C(|z_1 - z_2| + \sqrt{\lambda t}|k_1 - k_2|),$$

and

$$(g(\omega, t, y_1, z, k) - g(\omega, t, y_2, z, k))(y_1 - y_2) \leq C|y_1 - y_2|^2.$$

The positive real $C$ is called the $\lambda$-**constant** associated with driver $g$. 
Nonlinear pricing and hedging of Game options

**Definition 1:** For each initial wealth $x$, a **super-hedge** against the game option is a pair $(\sigma, \varphi)$ of a s.t. $\sigma \in \mathcal{T}$ and a strategy $\varphi$ such that

$$V_{t}^{x, \varphi} \geq \xi_{t}, \quad 0 \leq t \leq \sigma \text{ and } V_{\sigma}^{x, \varphi} \geq \zeta_{\sigma} \text{ a.s.}$$

(Kifer 2000)

$\mathcal{A}(x) :=$ set of all super-hedges associated with $x$.

**Definition 2:** Define

$$u_{0} := \inf \{ x \in \mathbb{R}, \exists (\sigma, \varphi) \in \mathcal{A}(x) \}.$$  

- if inf is **attained** $\iff u_{0}$ is a super-hedging price.
- if inf is **not attained** $\iff u_{0}$ is a "nearly" super-hedging price.
Definition 3: A natural price for the seller of the game option is the \textit{g-value} defined by

\[ Y(0) := \inf_{\sigma \in \mathcal{T}} \sup_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}} \mathcal{E}^g[I(\tau, \sigma)], \]

where \( I(\tau, \sigma) := \xi_{\tau^1} 1_{\tau \leq \sigma} + \zeta 1_{\sigma < \tau}. \)
Aim

- Characterization of the superhedging price
- Characterization of the superhedging strategy
Main mathematical tool
Let $\xi$ and $\zeta$ such that $\xi_t \leq \zeta_t$, $\xi_T = \zeta_T$ a.s. and satisfying the Mokobodzki’s condition.

Definition (DRBSDE($g, \xi, \zeta$))

\[-dY_t = g(t, Y_t, Z_t, k_t)dt + dA_t - dA'_t - Z_t dW_t - K_t dM_t\]

$Y_T = \xi_T,$

$\xi_t \leq Y_t \leq \zeta_t$, $0 \leq t \leq T$ a.s.,

$A$ and $A'$ are nondecreasing RCLL predictable processes with $A_0 = 0, A'_0 = 0$ and such that

\[
\begin{aligned}
\int_0^T (Y_{t^-} - \xi_{t^-})dA_t &= 0 \text{ a.s. and } \int_0^T (\zeta_{t^-} - Y_{t^-})dA'_t = 0 \text{ a.s.} \\
dA_t \perp dA'_t.
\end{aligned}
\]
Nonlinear pricing and hedging of Game options

Case I: $\zeta$ is left lower-s.c. along stopping times

**Theorem (Characterization)**

- The superhedging price $u_0 = g$-value of the game option, i.e.

$$u_0 = \inf_{\sigma \in \mathcal{T}} \sup_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}} \mathcal{E}_{0,\tau \wedge \sigma}^g [I(\tau, \sigma)] = \sup_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}} \inf_{\sigma \in \mathcal{T}} \mathcal{E}_{0,\tau \wedge \sigma}^g [I(\tau, \sigma)]$$

- Let $(Y, Z, K, A, A')$ be the solution of the DRBSDE $(g, \xi, \zeta)$. We have $u_0 = Y_0$. Let $\sigma^* := \inf \{ t \geq 0, \ Y_t = \zeta_t \}$ and $\varphi^* := \Phi(Z, K)$. Then, $(\sigma^*, \varphi^*)$ is a superhedge.
Nonlinear pricing and hedging of Game options

**Main step in the proof**: Links between DRBSDEs and Generalized Dynkin Game (Dum.-Quenez-Sulem, EJP(2016)).

If $Y$ denotes the solution of the DRBSDE $(g, \xi, \zeta)$, we have:

$$Y_0 = \inf_{\sigma} \sup_{\tau} \mathbb{E}^g_{0, \tau \wedge \sigma}[I(\tau, \sigma)] = \sup_{\tau} \inf_{\sigma} \mathbb{E}^g_{0, \tau \wedge \sigma}[I(\tau, \sigma)].$$

In other words, the solution of the doubly reflected BSDE corresponds to the value function of an optimal stopping game with nonlinear expectation (Generalized Dynkin Game).

**Remark**: There does not a priori exist $\tau^*$ such that $(\tau^*, \sigma^*)$ is a saddle point for the game problem.
Case II: $\xi$ and $\zeta$ are only RCLL processes

When $\zeta$ is only RCLL, there does not necessarily exist a super-hedge against the option.

**Theorem**

The "nearly" superhedging price $u_0 = g$-value of the game option, i.e.

$$u_0 = \inf_{\sigma \in \mathcal{T}} \sup_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}} \mathcal{E}^{g}_{0,\tau \wedge \sigma}[I(\tau, \sigma)] = \sup_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}} \inf_{\sigma \in \mathcal{T}} \mathcal{E}^{g}_{0,\tau \wedge \sigma}[I(\tau, \sigma)]$$

For each $\varepsilon > 0$, let $\sigma_{\varepsilon} := \inf\{ t \geq 0 : Y_t \geq \zeta_t - \varepsilon \}$. Let us consider the risky assets strategy $\varphi^* := \Phi(Z, K)$. We have

$$V_{t}^{Y_{0}, \varphi^*} \geq \xi_{t}, \ 0 \leq t \leq \sigma_{\varepsilon} \ a.s. \ \text{and} \ V_{\sigma_{\varepsilon}}^{Y_{0}, \varphi^*} \geq \zeta_{\sigma_{\varepsilon}} - \varepsilon \ a.s.$$  

In other terms, the pair $(\sigma_{\varepsilon}, \varphi^*)$ is an $\varepsilon$-super-hedge for the initial capital amount $Y_0$.  


Nonlinear pricing and hedging of Game options with uncertainty on the model

- Let $G : [0, T] \times \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$;
  
  $(t, \omega, z, k, u) \mapsto G(t, \omega, y, z, k, u)$, be a given measurable function (satisfying "good" assumptions).

- For each $u \in U$, the associated driver is given by
  
  $g^u(t, \omega, y, z, k) := G(t, \omega, y, z, k, u_t(\omega))$.

- To each ambiguity parameter $u$, corresponds a market model $\mathcal{M}_u$ where the wealth process $V^{u, x, \varphi}$ satisfies

  $-dV^{u, x, \varphi}_t = G(t, V^{u, x, \varphi}_t, \varphi_t \sigma_t, -\varphi^2_t, u_t) dt - \varphi_t \sigma_t dW_t - \varphi^2_t dM_t$;

  $V^{u, x, \varphi}_0 = x$. 

Nonlinear pricing and hedging of Game options with uncertainty on the model

- In the market model $\mathcal{M}_u$, the nonlinear pricing system is given by $\mathcal{E}^{g^u} := \{\mathcal{E}^{g^u}_{t,S}, \ S \in [0, T], t \in [0, S]\}$.

- For each $u \in \mathcal{U}$, we denote by $Y^u(0)$ the $g$-value of the game option in the market model $\mathcal{M}_u$. It is equal to $Y^u_0$, where $(Y^u, Z^u, K^u, A^u, A'^u)$ is the unique solution of the DRBSDE$(g^u, \xi, \zeta)$. 
Nonlinear pricing and hedging of Game options with uncertainty on the model

The seller being adverse to ambiguity, a *natural value* price of the game option, called $g$-value, is

\[
Y(0) := \inf_{\sigma \in \mathcal{T}} \sup_{u \in \mathcal{U}} \sup_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}} \mathcal{E}^{g_u}_{0, \tau \wedge \sigma}[I(\tau, \sigma)].
\]
Nonlinear pricing and hedging of Game options with uncertainty on the model

**Definition 1**: For each initial wealth $x$, a super-hedge against the game option is a pair $(\sigma, \varphi)$ of a s.t. $\sigma \in \mathcal{T}$ and a portfolio strategy $\varphi$ such that for each $u \in \mathcal{U}$, $V_{t}^{u,x,\varphi} \geq \xi_{t}$, $0 \leq t \leq \sigma$ and $V_{\sigma}^{u,x,\varphi} \geq \zeta_{\sigma}$ a.s.

$\mathcal{A}(x) := \text{set of all super-hedges associated with } x$.

**Definition 2**: Define

$$u_{0} := \inf\{x \in \mathbb{R}, \exists (\sigma, \varphi) \in \mathcal{A}(x)\}.$$

- If inf is attained $\mapsto u_{0}$ is a super-hedging price.
- If inf is not attained $\mapsto u_{0}$ is a "nearly" super-hedging price.
Nonlinear pricing and hedging of Game options with uncertainty on the model

**Particular case:** $\zeta$ is lower s.c. along stopping times

**Theorem (Characterization)**

The superhedging price $u_0$ of the game option coincides with the $g$-value of the game option, that is

$$u_0 = \inf_{\sigma \in \mathcal{T}} \sup_{u \in U} \sup_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}} \mathbb{E}^{g^{u}}_{0,\tau \wedge \sigma} [I(\tau, \sigma)].$$

Let $(Y, Z, K, A, A')$ be the solution of the DRBSDE $(g, \xi, \zeta)$, where

$$g(t, \omega, y, z, k) := \sup_{u \in U} g^{u}(t, \omega, y, z, k).$$

We have $u_0 = Y_0$.

Let $\sigma^* := \inf \{ t \geq 0, \ Y_t = \zeta_t \}$ and $\varphi^* := \Phi(Z, K)$. The pair $(\sigma^*, \varphi^*)$ is a super-hedge.
Nonlinear pricing and hedging of Game options with uncertainty on the model

Proof: A **key point** is to identify the $g$-value to the solution $Y$ of the DRBSDE$(g, \xi, \zeta)$.

1. Optimization principle with BSDEs
   - $\sup_u \sup_\tau \mathcal{E}_{0,\tau \wedge \sigma}^{g^u}[I(\tau, \sigma)] = \sup_\tau \sup_u \mathcal{E}_{0,\tau \wedge \sigma}^{g^u}[I(\tau, \sigma)] = \sup_\tau \mathcal{E}_{0,\tau \wedge \sigma}^g[I(\tau, \sigma)]$.

   We get
   \[ \inf_\sigma \sup_u \sup_\tau \mathcal{E}_{0,\tau \wedge \sigma}^{g^u}[I(\tau, \sigma)] = \inf_\sigma \sup_\tau \mathcal{E}_{0,\tau \wedge \sigma}^g[I(\tau, \sigma)]. \]

2. Links between DRBSDEs and Generalized Dynkin Game (Dum.-Quenez-Sulem, EJP(2016)).

   \[ \inf_\sigma \sup_\tau \mathcal{E}_{0,\tau \wedge \sigma}^g[I(\tau, \sigma)] = Y_0. \]
Nonlinear pricing and hedging of Game options with uncertainty on the model

**Theorem (Interchange inf – sup)**

We have the following equalities:

\[
\inf_{\sigma \in T} \sup_{u \in \mathcal{U}} \sup_{\tau \in T} \mathcal{E}^{g_u}_{0,\tau \land \sigma} [I(\tau, \sigma)] = \sup_{u \in \mathcal{U}} \inf_{\sigma \in T} \sup_{\tau \in T} \mathcal{E}^{g_u}_{0,\tau \land \sigma} [I(\tau, \sigma)]
\]

\[
\sup_{u \in \mathcal{U}} \sup_{\tau \in T} \inf_{\sigma \in T} \mathcal{E}^{g_u}_{0,\tau \land \sigma} [I(\tau, \sigma)].
\]

**Financial interpretation:**

The superhedging price of the game option in the case with ambiguity coincides with the supremum over \( u \in \mathcal{U} \) of the (superhedging) prices \( Y^u_0 \) corresponding to the market models \( \mathcal{M}_u \).

When \( U \) is compact, there exists an optimal \( u^* \) → worst case scenario.
Nonlinear pricing and hedging of Game options with uncertainty on the model

Main idea of the proof:

- In order to show equality (1): we establish an optimization principle for DRBSDEs (using a measurable selection theorem).
- Equality (2) is obtained using the Generalized Dynkin Games.
Nonlinear pricing and hedging of Game options with uncertainty on the model

General case: $\xi$ and $\zeta$ are only RCLL processes

When $\zeta$ is only RCLL, there does not necessarily exist a super-hedge against the option.

Theorem

The $g$-value of the game option coincides with the "nearly" superhedging price, that is $Y_0 = u_0$. For each $\varepsilon > 0$, let $\sigma_\varepsilon := \inf\{t \geq 0 : Y_t \geq \zeta_t - \varepsilon\}$. Let us consider the risky assets strategy $\varphi^* := \Phi(Z, K)$. The pair $(\sigma_\varepsilon, \varphi^*)$ is an $\varepsilon$-super-hedge for the seller.
Nonlinear pricing and hedging of Game options with uncertainty on the model

Example with ambiguity on the default probability. Suppose that $G$ is defined by:

$$G(t, \omega, u, y, z, k) = \beta(t, \omega, u)z + \gamma(t, \omega, u)k + f(t, \omega, z, k),$$

with $\beta, \gamma$ bounded. Let $Q^u$ be the probability measure which admits $Z^u_t$ as density with respect to $P$, where $(Z^u_t)$ is the solution of the following SDE:

$$dZ^u_t = Z^u_t[\beta(t, u_t)dW_t + \gamma(t, u_t)dM_t]; \quad Z^u_0 = 1.$$

Under $Q^u$, $W^u_t := W_t - \int_0^t \beta(s, u_s)ds$ is a Brownian motion and $M^u_t := M_t - \int_0^t \lambda_s(1 + \gamma(s, u_s))ds$ is a martingale independent of $W^u$. 
Nonlinear pricing and hedging of Game options with uncertainty on the model

For each $u \in \mathcal{U}$, the market model $\mathcal{M}_u$ can be seen as a market model associated with a probability $Q^u$, where the dynamics of the wealth process can be written

$$-dV_t = f(t, V_t, Z_t, K_t)dt - Z_t dW^u_t - K_t dM^u_t.$$ 

The $g^u$-evaluation of an option with maturity $S$ and payoff $\xi \in L^p(\mathcal{F}_S)$ with $p > 2$, can be written

$$\mathcal{E}^{u}_{0,S}(\xi) = \mathcal{E}^{f}_{Q^u,0,S}(\xi).$$

The nonlinear price system in the market model $\mathcal{M}_u$ is the $f$-evaluation under the probability measure $Q^u$. 
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