

Integral Representation of Martingales in Mathematical Finance

Daniel Schwarz

University College London, London

'London-Paris Bachelier Workshop on Mathematical Finance'

Paris

September 30th 2016

Table of Contents

Problem formulation

Motivation

Result

Problem Formulation

Given is a filtered probability space $(\Omega, \mathbf{F}, (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \in [0,1]}, \mathbb{P})$.

Inputs:

1. $\mathbb{Q} \sim \mathbb{P}$.
2. $S = (S_t^i)$ a \mathbb{Q} -martingale.

Goal: conditions on S such that \forall \mathbb{Q} -martingales M :

$$M_t = M_0 + \int_0^t H_u \, dS_u, \quad t \in [0, 1]. \quad (\text{MR})$$

Theorem (Jacod 79)

$(\text{MR}) \iff \mathbb{Q}$ is ! equivalent martingale measure for S .

Table of Contents

Problem formulation

Motivation

Result

Market Completeness

In mathematical finance we typically interpret the inputs as follows:

\mathbb{Q} : arbitrage-free pricing measure,

$S_t = (S_t^i)$: prices of traded securities.

The martingales M correspond to replicable securities.

Theorem (Harrison & Pliska '83)

$(MR) \iff S\text{-market is complete.}$

Verification of Market Completeness

Forward Setup

Inputs: $\mathbb{Q} \sim \mathbb{P}$, $W = (W_t^j)$ a \mathbb{Q} -Brownian motion, $\sigma = (\sigma_t^{ij})$.

S defined in terms of its predictable characteristics *forward* in time:

$$S_t = S_0 + \int_0^t \sigma_u \, dW_u.$$

Theorem (Yor '77, Karatzas & Shreve '98)

If $\mathcal{F}_t = \mathcal{F}_t^W$, then (MR) for $S \iff \det(\sigma_t) \neq 0 \, d\mathbb{P} \times dt \, a.s.$

Verification of Market Completeness

Backward Setup

Inputs: $\mathbb{Q} \sim \mathbb{P}$, $W = (W_t^j)$ \mathbb{Q} -Brownian motion, $\psi = (\psi^i) \in \mathcal{F}_1$.

S defined as conditional expectation *backward* in time:

$$S_t := \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}}[\psi | \mathcal{F}_t] = S_0 + \int_0^t \sigma_u \, dW_u,$$

where $\sigma = (\sigma_t^{ij})$ from Brownian martingale representation.

Problem: conditions on ψ only for (MR) to hold.

Verification of Market Completeness

Backward Setup

Inputs: $\mathbb{Q} \sim \mathbb{P}$, $W = (W_t^j)$ \mathbb{Q} -Brownian motion, $\psi = (\psi^i) \in \mathcal{F}_1$.

S defined as conditional expectation *backward* in time:

$$S_t := \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}}[\psi | \mathcal{F}_t] = S_0 + \int_0^t \sigma_u \, dW_u,$$

where $\sigma = (\sigma_t^{ij})$ from Brownian martingale representation.

Problem: conditions on ψ only for (MR) to hold.

Literature: AR '08, HMT '12, RH '12, KP '12.

$$\mathcal{F}_t = \mathcal{F}_t^X \text{ and } \psi = g(X_1) \text{ and } \det J[g](\cdot) \neq 0 \text{ a.e.}$$

+ standard assumptions \implies (MR) for S .

Verification of Market Completeness

Forward-Backward Setup

Inputs: $\mathbb{Q} \sim \mathbb{P}$, $W = (W_t^1, W_t^2)$ \mathbb{Q} -B.m., $\nu = \nu(\cdot)$, $h = h(\cdot)$.

$S = (S^F, S^B)$ represents prices of stock and option contract:

$$S_t^F = S_0^F + \int_0^t \nu(W_u^2) dW_u^1$$

$$S_t^B := \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}}[h(S_1^F) | \mathcal{F}_t] = S_0^B + \int_0^t Z_u dW_u.$$

Verification of Market Completeness

Forward-Backward Setup

Inputs: $\mathbb{Q} \sim \mathbb{P}$, $W = (W_t^1, W_t^2)$ \mathbb{Q} -B.m., $\nu = \nu(\cdot)$, $h = h(\cdot)$.

$S = (S^F, S^B)$ represents prices of stock and option contract:

$$S_t^F = S_0^F + \int_0^t \nu(W_u^2) dW_u^1$$

$$S_t^B := \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}}[h(S_1^F) | \mathcal{F}_t] = S_0^B + \int_0^t Z_u dW_u.$$

(MR) for (S^F, S^B)

\iff

$$\det \sigma_t = \begin{vmatrix} \nu & 0 \\ Z^1 & Z^2 \end{vmatrix} \neq 0 \text{ a.s. BUT } \det \sigma_1 = \begin{vmatrix} \nu & 0 \\ h_s & 0 \end{vmatrix} = 0.$$

Literature: Romano & Touzi '97, Davis & Obloj '08.

Partial Radner Equilibrium

Definition

In financial economics securities are valued to lead to equilibria:

Agents: $(x^m, U^m)_{m=1}^M$.

Partial Radner Equilibrium: $((S^F, S^B), (\theta^F, \theta^B))$ such that

1. $S_1^B = \psi$,

2. given (S^F, S^B)

- (a) $U^m(x^m + \int_0^1 \theta^{F,m} dS^F + \int_0^1 \theta^{B,m} dS^B) \xrightarrow{\theta^{F,m}, \theta^{B,m}} \max,$

- (b) $\sum_{m=1}^M \theta^{B,m} = 0$ (clearing).

Partial Radner Equilibrium

Existence

Step 1: static problem $\rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$.

$$(a) \quad U^m(x^m + \int_0^1 \theta^{F,m} dS^F + \chi^m) \xrightarrow{\theta^{F,m}, \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}}[\chi^m]=0} \max,$$

$$(b) \quad \sum_{m=1}^M \chi^m = 0 \quad (\text{clearing}).$$

Existence: fixed-point arguments.

$$\frac{d\mathbb{Q}}{d\mathbb{P}} = \text{const.} \times U_c\left(\sum_{m=1}^M (x^m + \int_0^1 \theta^{F,m} dS^F), w\right),$$

$U(c, w)$: w -weighted sup-convolution of U^m , $w \in \text{int } \Sigma^M$.

Step 2: verification of (MR) for $(S^F, S^B) \rightarrow S^B$.

$$S_t^B := \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}}[\psi | \mathcal{F}_t].$$

Table of Contents

Problem formulation

Motivation

Result

Setting

Inputs: $\mathbb{Q} \sim \mathbb{P}$, $W = (W_t^1, W_t^2)$ \mathbb{Q} -B.m., state process X :

$$X_t = X_0 + \int_0^t b(u, X_u) \, du + \int_0^t \eta(u, X_u) \, dW_u.$$

The prices of stock (S^F) and option contract (S^B) are given by

$$S_t^F = f(t, X_t),$$

and

$$S_t^B := \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}}[h(X_1) | \mathcal{F}_t].$$

Problem: conditions on b , η , f and h such that (MR) holds for $S = (S^F, S^B)$.

Conditions

$$\mathcal{B}_K(h, \varphi, t) := \int_K \frac{1}{2} A^{jk} \frac{\partial h}{\partial x^j} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x^k} - \left(B^j - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial A^{jk}}{\partial x^k} \right) \frac{\partial h}{\partial x^j} \varphi \, dx$$

Structural:

(A1) $\forall K \subset\subset \mathbb{R}^2$, $\exists \varphi \in W_{p,0}^1$ s.t. $\mathcal{B}_K[h, \varphi, 1] \neq 0$.

Regularity:

(A2) $t \mapsto b(t, \cdot), \eta(t, \cdot), f(t, \cdot)$ are

- (a) analytic of $(0, 1)$ to C ,
- (b) continuous of $[0, 1]$ to C^2 .

Conditions

$$\mathcal{B}_K(h, \varphi, t) := \int_K \frac{1}{2} A^{jk} \frac{\partial h}{\partial x^j} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x^k} - \left(B^j - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial A^{jk}}{\partial x^k} \right) \frac{\partial h}{\partial x^j} \varphi \, dx$$

Structural:

(A1) $\forall K \subset \subset \mathbb{R}^2$, $\exists \varphi \in W_{p,0}^1$ s.t. $\mathcal{B}_K[h, \varphi, 1] \neq 0$.

Regularity:

(A2) $t \mapsto b(t, \cdot), \eta(t, \cdot), f(t, \cdot)$ are

- (a) analytic of $(0, 1)$ to C ,
- (b) continuous of $[0, 1]$ to C^2 .

Example

Stochastic volatility model completed with a call option, then (A1) becomes:

$$\partial_x \nu(x) \neq 0 \text{ a.e. on } \mathbb{R}.$$

Main Result

Theorem (S. '16)

*If $\mathcal{F}_t = \mathcal{F}_t^X$ and (A1) and (A2) + standard assumptions hold
 \implies (MR) for $S = (S^F, S^B)$.*

Elements of Proof

A PDE for the option price:

$$S_t^B = \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}}[h(X_1)|\mathcal{F}_t] = v(t, X_t),$$

where

$$v_t + \mathcal{L}^X(t)v = 0, \quad v(1, \cdot) = h(\cdot).$$

Evolution of security prices $S = (S^F, S^B)$:

$$dS_t = (J[f, v]\eta)(t, X_t) dW_t$$

Need to show:

$$J[f, v](t, x)$$

is nonsingular $dt \times dx$ a.e.

Elements of Proof

$w(t, x) := \det J[f, v](t, x)$, then

$$w_t + \mathcal{L}^X(t)w = -\mathcal{P}(t)v.$$

Evolution equations: $t \mapsto w(t, \cdot)$ is

- (a) analytic on $(0, 1)$,
- (b) continuous on $[0, 1]$.

Suppose for a contradiction $w = 0$ on open $E \subset (0, 1) \times \mathbb{R}^2$.

Analyticity: $\mathcal{P}(t)v = 0$ on $(0, 1)$.

Weak-formulation: $\mathcal{B}_K(v, \varphi, t) = 0$ on $(0, 1) \forall \varphi$.

Continuity: $\mathcal{B}_K(h, \varphi, 1) = 0 \forall \varphi$.



Merci Beaucoup!
Questions?